



Institute for
Interlaboratory Studies

**Results of Proficiency Test
Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade)
December 2024**

Organized by: Institute for Interlaboratory Studies
Spijkenisse, the Netherlands

Author: Mr. C.G.S. Soewarto
Correctors: Mrs. E.R. Montenij-Bos & Mr. R.J. Starink, BSc
Approved by: Mr. R.J. Starink, BSc

Report: iis24C11

March 2025

CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION 3

2 SET UP 3

2.1 ACCREDITATION 3

2.2 PROTOCOL 3

2.3 CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT 4

2.4 SAMPLES 4

2.5 STABILITY OF THE SAMPLES 6

2.6 ANALYZES 6

3 RESULTS 7

3.1 STATISTICS 7

3.2 GRAPHICS 8

3.3 Z-SCORES 8

4 EVALUATION 9

4.1 EVALUATION PER SAMPLE AND PER TEST 9

4.2 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR THE GROUP OF LABORATORIES 12

4.3 COMPARISON OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST OF DECEMBER 2024 WITH PREVIOUS PTS 13

Appendices:

1. Data, statistical and graphical results 15

2. Number of participants per country 55

3. Abbreviations and literature 56

1 INTRODUCTION

Since 1995 the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) organizes a proficiency scheme for the analysis of Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) based on the latest version of EN15376 and ASTM D4806 every year. During the annual proficiency testing program of 2024 it was decided to continue the round robin for the analysis of Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade).

In this interlaboratory study 59 laboratories in 29 countries registered for participation, see appendix 2 for the number of participants per country. In this report the results of the Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) proficiency test are presented and discussed.

2 SET UP

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, was the organizer of this proficiency test (PT). Sample analyzes for fit-for-use and homogeneity testing were subcontracted to a laboratory that has performed the tests in accordance with for ISO/IEC17043 relevant requirements of ISO/IEC17025.

In this proficiency test the participants received three different samples of Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade), see table below.

Sample ID	Quantity	Purpose
#24245	1x 1 L	Regular analyzes
#24246	1x 100 mL	Inorganic Chloride, Sulfate and Sulfur
#24247	1x 250 mL	Nonvolatile matter

Table 1: Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) samples used in PT iis24C11

Participants were requested to report rounded and unrounded test results. The unrounded test results were preferably used for statistical evaluation.

2.1 ACCREDITATION

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, is accredited in agreement with ISO/IEC17043:2010 (R007), since January 2000, by the Dutch Accreditation Council (Raad voor Accreditatie). This PT falls under the accredited scope. This ensures strict adherence to protocols for sample preparation and statistical evaluation and 100% confidentiality of participant's data. Feedback from the participants on the reported data is encouraged and customer's satisfaction is measured on regular basis by sending out questionnaires.

2.2 PROTOCOL

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organization, Statistics and Evaluation' of October 2024 (iis-protocol, version 4.0). This protocol is electronically available through the iis website www.iisnl.com, from the FAQ page.

2.3 CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT

All data presented in this report must be regarded as confidential and for use by the participating companies only. Disclosure of the information in this report is only allowed by means of the entire report. Use of the contents of this report for third parties is only allowed by written permission of the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies. Disclosure of the identity of one or more of the participating companies will be done only after receipt of a written agreement of the companies involved.

2.4 SAMPLES

For the preparation of the sample for the regular analyzes in Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) a batch of approximately 120 liters of Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) was obtained from a local supplier. After homogenization 90 amber glass bottles of 1 L were filled and labelled #24245. The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by determination of Density at 20 °C in accordance with ASTM D4052 and Water in accordance with ASTM E203 on 8 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	Density at 20 °C in kg/L	Water in %M/M
sample #24245-1	0.78981	0.147
sample #24245-2	0.78981	0.148
sample #24245-3	0.78982	0.148
sample #24245-4	0.78982	0.152
sample #24245-5	0.78982	0.150
sample #24245-6	0.78982	0.149
sample #24245-7	0.78981	0.149
sample #24245-8	0.78982	0.150

Table 2: homogeneity test results of subsamples #24245

From the above test results the repeatabilities were calculated and compared with 0.3 times the corresponding reproducibility of the reference test methods in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	Density at 20 °C in kg/L	Water in %M/M
r (observed)	0.00001	0.004
reference test method	ISO12185:24	ASTM E203:24
0.3 x R (reference test method)	0.00015	0.023

Table 3: evaluation of the repeatabilities of subsamples #24245

The calculated repeatabilities are in agreement with 0.3 times the corresponding reproducibility of the reference test methods. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

For the preparation of the sample for the determination of Inorganic Chloride, Sulfate and Sulfur in Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) a batch of approximately 10 L Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) was spiked with Sodium Chloride (NaCl) dissolved in water. After homogenization 90 PE bottles of 100 mL were filled and labelled #24246.

The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by determination of Inorganic Chloride as Cl in accordance with EN15492 on 8 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	Inorganic Chloride as Cl in mg/kg
sample #24246-1	4.6
sample #24246-2	4.6
sample #24246-3	4.7
sample #24246-4	4.0 D(0.01)
sample #24246-5	4.6
sample #24246-6	4.7
sample #24246-7	4.7
sample #24246-8	4.6

Table 4: homogeneity test results of subsamples #24246

Subsample 4 is a Dixon outlier and therefore excluded from statistical evaluation of the homogeneity.

From the above test results the repeatability was calculated and compared with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	Inorganic Chloride as Cl in mg/kg
r (observed)	0.2
reference test method	ASTM D7319:22
0.3 x R (reference test method)	0.4

Table 5: evaluation of the repeatability of subsamples #24246

The calculated repeatability is in agreement with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

For the preparation of the sample for the determination of Nonvolatile matter in Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) a batch of approximately 25 L Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) was spiked with Sodium Chloride (NaCl) dissolved in water. After homogenization 90 amber glass bottles of 250 mL were filled and labelled #24247.

The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by determination of Nonvolatile matter in accordance with EN15691 on 8 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	Nonvolatile matter in mg/100 mL
sample #24247-1	18
sample #24247-2	18
sample #24247-3	18
sample #24247-4	17
sample #24247-5	19
sample #24247-6	17
sample #24247-7	18
sample #24247-8	18

Table 6: homogeneity test results of subsamples #24247

From the above test results the repeatability was calculated and compared with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	Nonvolatile matter in mg/100 mL
r (observed)	1.8
reference test method	EN15691:23
0.3 x R (reference test method)	4.8

Table 7: evaluation of the repeatability of subsamples #24247

The calculated repeatability is in agreement with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

To each of the participating laboratories one 1 L bottle of Ethanol (Bio/Fuel grade) labelled #24245, one 100 mL bottle labelled #24246 and one 250 mL bottle labelled #24247 was sent on November 13, 2024. An SDS was added to the sample package.

2.5 STABILITY OF THE SAMPLES

The stability of Ethanol (Bio / Fuel grade) packed in amber glass bottles and PE bottles was checked. The material was found sufficiently stable for the period of the proficiency test.

2.6 ANALYZES

The participants were requested to determine on sample #24245: Total Acidity as Acetic Acid, Appearance, Copper as Cu, Density at 20 °C, Electrical Conductivity at 25 °C, Nitrogen, pH_e (LiCl and KCl electrode), Phosphorus as P, Water (Coulometric and Volumetric), Ethanol incl. higher alcohols (acc. EN15721), Higher alcohols (acc. EN15721), Impurities (acc. EN15721), Methanol, Ethanol by mass and by volume (acc. ASTM D5501) and Gum (solvent washed).

On sample #24246 it was requested to determine Inorganic Chloride as Cl, Sulfate as SO₄ and Sulfur.

On sample #24247 it was requested to determine Nonvolatile matter.

It was explicitly requested to treat the samples as if they were routine samples and to report the test results using the indicated units on the report form and not to round the test results but report as much significant figures as possible. It was also requested not to report 'less than' test results, which are above the detection limit, because such test results cannot be used for meaningful statistical evaluations.

To get comparable test results a detailed report form and a letter of instructions are prepared. On the report form the reporting units are given as well as the reference test methods (when applicable) that will be used during the evaluation. The detailed report form and the letter of instructions are both made available on the data entry portal www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/. The participating laboratories are also requested to confirm the sample receipt on this data entry portal. The letter of instructions can also be downloaded from the iis website www.iisnl.com.

3 RESULTS

During five weeks after sample dispatch, the test results of the individual laboratories were gathered via the data entry portal www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/. The reported test results are tabulated per determination in appendix 1 of this report. The laboratories are presented by their code numbers.

Directly after the deadline, a reminder was sent to those laboratories that had not reported test results at that moment. Shortly after the deadline, the available test results were screened for suspect data. A test result was called suspect in case the Huber Elimination Rule (a robust outlier test) found it to be an outlier. The laboratories that produced these suspect data were asked to check the reported test results (no reanalyzes). Additional or corrected test results are used for data analysis and the original test results are placed under 'Remarks' in the result tables in appendix 1. Test results that came in after the deadline were not taken into account in this screening for suspect data and thus these participants were not requested for checks.

3.1 STATISTICS

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organization, Statistics and Evaluation' of October 2024 (iis-protocol, version 4.0).

For the statistical evaluation the *unrounded* (when available) figures were used instead of the rounded test results. Test results reported as '<...' or '>...' were not used in the statistical evaluation.

First, the normality of the distribution of the various data sets per determination was checked by means of the Lilliefors-test, a variant of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and by the calculation of skewness and kurtosis. Evaluation of the three normality indicators in combination with the visual evaluation of the graphic Kernel density plot, lead to judgement of the normality being either 'unknown', 'OK', 'suspect' or 'not OK'. After removal of outliers, this check was repeated. If a data set does not have a normal distribution, the (results of the) statistical evaluation should be used with due care.

The assigned value is determined by consensus based on the test results of the group of participants after rejection of the statistical outliers and/or suspect data.

According to ISO13528 all (original received or corrected) results per determination were submitted to outlier tests. In the iis procedure for proficiency tests, outliers are detected prior to calculation of the mean, standard deviation and reproducibility. For small data sets, Dixon (up to 20 test results) or Grubbs (up to 40 test results) outlier tests can be used. For larger data sets (above 20 test results) Rosner's outlier test can be used. Outliers are marked by $D(0.01)$ for the Dixon's test, by $G(0.01)$ or $DG(0.01)$ for the Grubbs' test and by $R(0.01)$ for the Rosner's test. Stragglers are marked by $D(0.05)$ for the Dixon's test, by $G(0.05)$ or $DG(0.05)$ for the Grubbs' test and by $R(0.05)$ for the Rosner's test. Both outliers and stragglers were not included in the calculations of averages and standard deviations.

For each assigned value the uncertainty was determined in accordance with ISO13528. Subsequently the calculated uncertainty was evaluated against the respective requirement based on the target reproducibility in accordance with ISO13528. In this PT, the criterion of ISO13528, paragraph 9.2.1. was met for all evaluated tests, therefore, the uncertainty of all assigned values may be negligible and need not be included in the PT report.

Finally, the reproducibilities were calculated from the standard deviations by multiplying them with a factor of 2.8.

3.2 GRAPHICS

In order to visualize the data against the reproducibilities from literature, Gauss plots were made, using the sorted data for one determination (see appendix 1). On the Y-axis the reported test results are plotted. The corresponding laboratory numbers are on the X-axis. The straight horizontal line presents the consensus value (a trimmed mean). The four striped lines, parallel to the consensus value line, are the +3s, +2s, -2s and -3s target reproducibility limits of the selected reference test method. Outliers and other data, which were excluded from the calculations, are represented as a cross. Accepted data are represented as a triangle.

Furthermore, Kernel Density Graphs were made. This is a method for producing a smooth density approximation to a set of data that avoids some problems associated with histograms. Also, a normal Gauss curve (dotted line) was projected over the Kernel Density Graph (smooth line) for reference. The Gauss curve is calculated from the consensus value and the corresponding standard deviation.

3.3 Z-SCORES

To evaluate the performance of the participating laboratories the z-scores were calculated. As it was decided to evaluate the performance of the participants in this proficiency test (PT) against the literature requirements (derived from e.g. ISO or ASTM test methods), the z-scores were calculated using a target standard deviation. This results in an evaluation independent of the variation in this interlaboratory study.

The target standard deviation was calculated from the literature reproducibility by division with 2.8. In case no literature reproducibility was available, other target values were used, like Horwitz or an estimated reproducibility based on former iis proficiency tests.

When a laboratory did use a test method with a reproducibility that is significantly different from the reproducibility of the reference test method used in this report, it is strongly advised to recalculate the z-score, while using the reproducibility of the actual test method used, this in order to evaluate whether the reported test result is fit-for-use.

The z-scores were calculated according to:

$$z_{(\text{target})} = (\text{test result} - \text{average of PT}) / \text{target standard deviation}$$

The $z_{(\text{target})}$ scores are listed in the test result tables in appendix 1.

Absolute values for $z < 2$ are very common and absolute values for $z > 3$ are very rare. Therefore, the usual interpretation of z-scores is as follows:

$ z < 1$	good
$1 < z < 2$	satisfactory
$2 < z < 3$	questionable
$3 < z $	unsatisfactory

End of preview of this report, requests to obtain the full report can be sent to

Preview

Address: Malledijk 18, P.O. Box 200, 3200 AE Spijkenisse, The Netherlands
Telephone number: +31 (0)88 214 45 41
Email address: nl.iis@sgs.com
Website: www.iisnl.com

Institute for Interlaboratory Studies is a full member of SGS Nederland B.V. and registered at the Chamber of Commerce under number: 24226722. Unless otherwise agreed, all orders are executed in accordance with the SGS general conditions.